

Notice of Meeting

Resident Experience Board



Date & time
Thursday, 9 March
2017 at 1.00 pm

Place
Council Chamber
County Hall
Penrhyn Road
Kingston upon Thames
KT1 2DN

Contact
Dominic Mackie or
Sharmina Ullah
Room 122, County Hall
Tel 020 8213 2814 or 020
8213 2838
dominic.mackie@surreycc.gov.uk
or
sharmina.ullah@surreycc.gov.uk

Chief Executive
David McNulty



We're on Twitter:
@SCCdemocracy

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, eg large print or braille, or another language please either call 020 8541 9122, write to Democratic Services, Room 122, County Hall, Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN, Minicom 020 8541 8914, fax 020 8541 9009, or email dominic.mackie@surreycc.gov.uk or sharmina.ullah@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact Dominic Mackie or Sharmina Ullah on 020 8213 2814 or 020 8213 2838.

Elected Members

Mr Mike Bennison, Mr Robert Evans, Mr Colin Kemp (Chairman), Rachael I. Lake (Vice-Chairman), Mrs Yvonna Lay, Mrs Jan Mason, Mr John Orrick, Mr Karan Persand (Epsom West), Ms Barbara Thomson, Ms Denise Turner-Stewart, Mr Alan Young and a Vacancy

Ex-officio Members:

Mrs Sally Ann B Marks (Chairman of the County Council), Mr Nick Skellett CBE (Vice-Chairman of the County Council)

TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Committee is responsible for the following areas:

Community Safety	Adult and Community Learning
Crime and Disorder Reduction	Cultural Services
Relations with the Police	Sport
Fire and Rescue Service	Voluntary Sector Relations
Localism	Heritage
Major Cultural and Community Events	Citizenship
Arts	Registration Services
Customer Services	Trading Standards and Environmental Health
Library Services	Legacy and Tourism

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

To note any apologies for absence.

2 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING: 2 FEBRUARY 2017

(Pages 1
- 10)

To agree the minutes as a true record of the meeting.

3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter

- (i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or
- (ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

NOTES:

- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)
- Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial.

4 QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS

To receive any questions or petitions.

Notes:

1. The deadline for Member's questions is 12.00pm four working days before the meeting, Friday 3 February 2017.
2. The deadline for public questions is seven days before the meeting Thursday 2 February 2017.
3. The deadline for petitions was 14 days before the meeting, and no petitions have been received.

5 RESPONSES FROM THE CABINET TO ISSUES REFERRED BY THE SCRUTINY BOARD

There are no responses to report.

6 RECOMMENDATION TRACKER AND FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME (Pages 11 - 16)

The Board is asked to monitor progress on the implementation of recommendations from previous meetings, and to review its Forward Work Programme.

7 SURREY LOCAL FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND COMMUNITY RESILIENCE (Pages 17 - 18)

Purpose of the report: Policy Development

A review of Objective 5 in Surrey County Council's refreshed Flood Risk Management Strategy and how Community Resilience will help and impact on communities at risk in Surrey.

8 DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 7 JUNE 2017

The next meeting of the Board will be held on Wednesday 7 June 2017 at 10:00am in the Ashcombe Suite, County Hall, Kingston upon Thames.

David McNulty
Chief Executive

Published: Wednesday 1 March 2017

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. To support this, County Hall has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings with the Chairman's consent. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that the Chairman can grant permission and those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

This page is intentionally left blank

MINUTES of the meeting of the **RESIDENT EXPERIENCE BOARD** held at 10.00 am on 2 February 2017 at Ashcombe Suite, County Hall, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN.

These minutes are subject to confirmation by the Board at its meeting on Thursday, 9 March 2017.

Elected Members:

- * Mr Colin Kemp (Chairman)
- * Rachael I. Lake (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mr Mike Bennison
- * Mr Robert Evans
- * Mrs Yvonna Lay
- * Mrs Jan Mason
- * Mr John Orrick
- * Mr Karan Persand
- Ms Barbara Thomson
- Mr Alan Young
- * Ms Denise Turner-Stewart
- Mrs Denise Saliagopoulos

In attendance

Richard Walsh, Cabinet Member for Localities and Wellbeing
Kay Hammond, Cabinet Associate for Community Safety Services

1/17 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]

Apologies were received from John Orrick, Alan Young, Barbara Thomson, Denise Saliagopoulos and Yvonna Lay. Mary Angell substituted for Yvonna Lay.

2/17 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING: TUESDAY 22 NOVEMBER 2016 [Item 2]

Minutes from the previous meeting, 22 November 2016 were agreed as a true and accurate record upon correction of Richard Walsh's title which was printed twice in error.

3/17 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

No declarations of interest were received.

4/17 QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS [Item 4]

No questions or petitions were received.

5/17 RESPONSES FROM THE CABINET TO ISSUES REFERRED BY THE SCRUTINY BOARD [Item 5]

There were no responses from Cabinet to report.

6/17 RECOMMENDATION TRACKER AND FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME [Item 6]

1. The Chairman informed Members that recommendation REB 44/2016 and REB 45/2016, regarding the feature article on the Surrey History Centre would remain outstanding until a further response from Surrey Matters was received.
2. A Member queried whether historic records that were lost in the fire at Clendon House were in duplicate and available at the Surrey History Centre and whether this could be looked into for a future item.
3. The Chairman also notified the Board that REB 47/2016, regarding an update of the Council's born-digital records strategy, would also remain on the Recommendation Tracker.
4. The Vice-Chairman indicated whether publicity with Surrey Matters could be pursued further, indicating more stories to be published in relation to the work of the Resident Experience Board. The Chairman advised that the previous recommendation requesting Surrey Matters to publicise the work of the Community Safety Team regarding anti-social behaviour was underway and an update would be provided for a future REB meeting.
5. Members were informed of interests.me, an online platform which provides news and information about what is going in your community. Members were encouraged to utilise this platform in communicating with residents.

6. The Cabinet Associate for Community Safety Services requested whether the Board would consider reviewing the Community Safety Board's work in relation to preventing the radicalisation of young people and having this put on the Forward Work Programme as a future item.
7. There was a discussion around the Extraordinary meeting, taking place on Thursday 9 March, and whether it would be necessary to keep the Spelthorne Consultation item on the agenda as Staines Fire Station would remain open. The Board decided it would be essential to have the Spelthorne consultation item presented in the next public meeting so that responses are reviewed and considered.
8. The Service Improvement Manager from the SFRS informed the Board that although Staines Fire Station was not being closed down, the consultation process would still continue in relation to having an on call at the new fire station at Fordbridge and responses on this would be presented to the Board in March. The Board resolved to continue with the item on 9 March 2017.
9. Before the meeting continued the Chairman invited the Board to pay respects to Alan Grant, Assistant Group Commander of the Fire Investigation and Community Risk Reduction Team, who passed away on duty.

7/17 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE - IMMEDIATE EMERGENCY CARE RESPONSE PILOT (CO-RESPONDING) [Item 7]

Witnesses:

Karen Pointer, Assistant Group Commander, Surrey Fire Rescue Service
Matt England, Blue-Light Collaboration Lead, South East Coast Ambulance
Richard Jones, Fire Brigades Union Secretary

Declarations of Interest:

None

Key points during the discussion:

1. The Assistant Group Commander for Surrey Fire and Rescue Service (SFRS) opened the discussion by informing the Board that the Service have been participating in the National Joint Council (NJC) pilot, whereby the SFRS have taken part in co-responding alongside the South East Coast Ambulance Service (SECAmb). The Officer explained the NJC trial would end on 28 February and that Cabinet would need to make a decision on whether or not SFRS may continue to co-respond as a voluntary basis until a decision has been made by the NJC on whether this will form part of a firefighters' role, or not.
2. The Blue-Light Collaboration Lead from SECAmb reported that the co-responding not only assisted the Service but also helped and served residents significantly. The witness gave positive feedback on the co-responding, reporting that the SFRS, when available, were able to

respond quickly under blue-light conditions and were on many occasions' primary responders. The Board noted that co-responding allowed response times to be achieved.

3. It was noted in terms of team work and collaboration, shared understanding had increased between the SFRS and the SECAMB. Both Services were more aware of the aptitude of their work.
4. The Board noted that SECAMB were also encouraging the public to use defibrillators where possible, starting lifesaving treatment before the arrival of the ambulance service. It was stated the chances of a successful defibrillation declines at a rate of around 10% with each minute of delay.
5. It was stated that public defibrillators could be registered with the SECAMB system, which would benefit the public when needing to track the nearest one during an emergency situation that requires one.
6. It was stated that the SFRS were currently responding to Red 1 and Red 2 calls as these are among the most serious and life threatening emergencies and going forward would only continue to co-respond to Red Calls and not Amber or Green.
7. The Blue-Light Collaboration Lead explained that the Ambulance Response Programme was under review and from April 2017 changes would take effect. This will remove the distinction between a Red 1 and Red 2, they will all be classified as Red. Some calls that are currently Red 2 call will become Amber.
8. The representative from the Fire Brigades Union shared the view that the trial was positive and informed Members that the Union will be instructing membership in accordance with the NJC. Although the trial will be ending on 28 February 2017, the Union will be following the NJC guidance. Members were also advised that funding from the Government was important, otherwise the Service would not receive support if it continued on a free of charge basis.
9. The Cabinet Associate for Fire and Police Services advised that with the new Policing and Crime Act 2017 legislation in place, there was a duty to collaborate. The Board noted this suggestion and shared the view that it would be appropriate in these circumstances to put a recommendation forward that the trial continues as it impacts hugely on the lives of Surrey residents.
10. Members shared the view that withdrawing the co-responding service would leave a degree of trauma, especially for Surrey residents.
11. There was a discussion around the location of SFRS appliances; Officers clarified that vehicles were tracked by GPS and this would allow the available and nearest units to be dispatched when called.
12. A Member raised concern with the potential for SFRS not being available to respond to its core responsibilities while out co-responding. Officers assured the Board that only one incident occurred

where there was a clash in the 18 months that the trial had been running and that there was no effect on the SFRS statutory duties.

13. The FBU representative shared concerns with Members and highlighted that it was important to understand the national context of the trial, as Surrey was 1 of over 30 Fire and Rescue Services taking part in the national pilot. There would be different results demographically and to await a direction from the NJC on best practice, without securing funding from the Government could jeopardise a better sustainable outcome.
14. The Cabinet Member for Localities and Community Wellbeing suggested, in the absence of funding from the Government, for the Board to consider SECamb to make a contribution towards the continuation of co-responding.
15. Officers were queried whether the trial would be sustainable without funding. Members were advised that, by opting to continue co-responding the Council could jeopardise the prospects for additional funding from central government, and that it could be to the Council's advantage, in the long term to await a decision from the NJC with regards to any changes to the firefighters role map in July 2017.
16. In an effort to reduce costs, the SFRS recognised it was not cost effective to have pumps on call for every occasion and using smaller multi-role vehicles would be more appropriate and were available across the Service.
17. Members agreed a letter should be drafted to the NJC with regards to the strain both services might face without funding if co-responding were to continue and consider this when making their decision.

Recommendations:

- The Board supports the continuation of Immediate Emergency Care Responding and, providing that there remains no substantial negative effect on SFRS's core service and the financial pressures can be met, supports Option 1 to be presented to Cabinet on 28 February 2017.
- That the Board writes to the LGA Employers Representatives in support of co-responding, seeking additional funding for the scheme until a decision on the results of the NJC pilot is made in July 2017.

Actions:

None

There was a break from 11.22am to 11.26am – need to add this as a comment in the minutes.

**8/17 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE - TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT
[Item 8]**

Witnesses:

Roger Childs, Group Commander, Surrey Fire Rescue Service, Head of Training and Development

Declarations of interest.

None

Key points of discussion:

1. Officers produced a presentation for the Board on the Surrey Fire and Rescue Service (Surrey Fire Rescue Service) Training and Development, giving Members a general summary of the approach, skills and progression delivered and met by firefighters.
2. Members were informed that the Service also provides commercial training which generates income, and were exploring options to share a lease with SECamb for a training facility in Wray Park, a measure that could produce savings for both organisations.
3. It was noted that there was a range of resources across the organisation for training already in use; and example of which was trainers going out to fire stations and providing on duty training. This eases the pressure off extraction rates by having firefighters remain on duty while undergoing training. The Officer explained that extraction rates meant arranging cover for an on call firefighter while on training.
4. Members also commended the refresher cycle, which illustrated training being delivered annually, or met after 2/3/5 years, ensuring standards were being maintained. The Board proposed that this information to be publicised to promote awareness.
5. Officers outlined that training was adapted and provided as appropriate for the role and required skill set, which varies as personnel progress up through the ranks of the Service.
6. It was noted that the average age of SFRS firefighters was 42 and there were no concerns with the ageing workforce as firefighters were checked by Occupational Health with a strict physical fitness test. It was highlighted that there was a quick turn over in recognising where standards were not being met and delivering additional training where necessary.
7. The Cabinet Associate for Community Safety Services informed the Board that another element of training provided to the SFRS was joint emergency training on major incidents.
8. The Board noted that all Fire and Rescue Services manage their own training and where possible share facilities for cost efficiencies.

9. Members were informed that a number of training programmes were open to the public, therefore if residents or Members wanted a better insight on this area to consider attending.

Recommendations:

The Board recommends that Surrey Matters runs an article on the work and training for Surrey Fire and Rescue Service firefighters, and the support this provides businesses in Surrey.

Actions:

Circulate training link to Members.

9/17 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE - FIRE AND ROAD TRAFFIC COLLISION PREVENTION [Item 9]

Witnesses:

Iain Houseman, Area Commander, Surrey Fire Rescue Service, Protection and Prevention

Declarations of interest:

None

Key points of the discussion:

1. The Officer introduced the report by highlighting that Surrey Fire and Rescue Service (SFRS) have a statutory duty to educate and advise people who reside, travel and work in Surrey on prevention of fire and road traffic collisions.
2. The Board were informed that the SFRS have been working with the Drive Smart Board, taking on a multi-agency approach to deal with road traffic collisions.
3. It was highlighted that Surrey has a growing and ageing population and a road network that carries twice the average number of vehicles. Statistics from Surrey indicate that there are 679 killed or seriously injured (KSI) casualties on Surrey roads in 2015.
4. It was noted that minor injuries was also included in the KSI category and the statistics tabled at the meeting today did not include pedestrians (these statistics are attached as Annex A)
5. In carrying out prevention work, the Officer informed the Board of the various programmes that are delivered, these include the Safe Drive Stay Alive, Ride it Right and Biker Down. Through this work, the SFRS Prevention Team has successfully raised £1.4 million in sponsorship and were seeking to raise furthermore sponsors to ensure the prevention work is maintained.

6. Members were informed that casualty figures have significantly dropped across the 10 years since prevention work was established. However due to the legacy of the Olympics being held in Surrey in 2012, and the continued annual Ride London event, there has been a 200% rise in pedal cyclists KSI.
7. The Officer identified road users, including pedal cyclists, who were wearing head phones, electric cars being very silent, and Surrey's complex road network as contributing factors to collisions and causalities.

Mary Angell lefty the meeting 12:15pm

8. There was a further discussion around the programmes used to deliver prevention campaigns and the Officer indicated that, Ride it Right was gaining traction however more support was.
9. It was highlighted that the Safe Drive Stay Alive programme was very successful and had been recognised with national awards. It was explained that the drop in attendance in 2013/14 was due to the introduction of an attendance fee, which had been subsequently addressed in later years. The Officer stressed that it was important to promote awareness and attract further sponsorship so secure the programme to continue.
10. A Member queried whether the launch of the Pokémon Go app had presented a problem in Surrey, as there had been reports in the media that individuals, whilst trying to catch them all, were running out onto roads to catch virtual Pokémon. The Officer assured the Board that these reports were not a reflection on incidents in Surrey, and were not reflected in Surrey's statistics.
11. The Board noted concerns raised by Members regarding pedal cyclists not using dedicated cycle lanes and going through red lights, and queried what work was being done to educate and monitor the issue. The Cabinet Associate for Community Safety Services advised that the Drive Smart Board were targeting cyclist with campaigns to advertise and raise awareness surrounding these concerns.

Recommendations:

That the Board supports the activities of the SFRS Prevention Team.

Actions:

None

10/17 DATE OF NEXT MEETING [Item 10]

The next full public meeting will be held on Thursday 9 March 2017, in the Council Chamber, County Hall.

Meeting ended at: 12.38 pm

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank



**Resident Experience Board
Thursday 9 March 2017**

Recommendation Tracker & Forward Work Programme

1. The Board is asked to review its Recommendation Tracker and provide comment as necessary. (Responses are provided as Annexes where appropriate)
2. The Forward Work Programme is attached for the Board's reference.

Report contact:

Dominic Mackie, Scrutiny Officer, Democratic Services

Contact details: dominic.mackie@surreycc.gov.uk 020 8213 2814

This page is intentionally left blank

**RESIDENT EXPERIENCE BOARD
ACTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TRACKER – 9 MARCH 2017**

The recommendations tracker allows Board Members to monitor responses, actions and outcomes against their recommendations or requests for further action. The tracker is updated following each Board. Once an action has been achieved and reported to the Board it will be removed from the tracker.

	Outstanding		Ongoing		Achieved
--	-------------	--	---------	--	----------

Date of meeting	Item	Ref:	Recommendation/Action	Status	Progress Check	Responsible Officer / Member / Cabinet Member
22 September 2016	Tackling Anti-Social Behaviour in Surrey [Item 8]	REB 38/2016	The Board requests for Surrey Matters to publicise the work of the Community Safety Team to help provide residents with information on how to tackle Anti-Social Behaviour.	Ongoing Planning for the next edition of Surrey Matters is still ongoing, it is hoped that an ASB article will be included.	March 2017	Jane Last Gordon Falconer Louise Gibbins Richard Walsh
22 September 2016	Update on the Voluntary, Community and Faith Sector (VCFS) Infrastructure in Surrey and the Volunteering Project [Item 9]	REB 39/2016	The Board requests an end of project report on the Driving up Volunteering Project.	Achieved Added to Forward Work Programme	July 2017	Saba Hussain Rachel Crossley Richard Walsh
13 October 2016	Surrey County Council's Heritage Services And The Development Of A Future Strategy [Item 7]	REB 44/2016	That Surrey Matters runs a feature article on the Surrey History Centre to raise awareness and promote a wider understanding of its services	Ongoing Surrey Matters and Heritage are working on an article for a future edition.	March 2017	Barrie Higham Peter Milton Surrey Matters Richard Walsh
13 October 2016	Surrey County Council's Heritage Services And The Development Of A Future Strategy [Item 7]	REB 45/2016	That when Surrey Matters runs any article with historical content or references that they contact the Surrey History Centre for materials or quotes, whenever possible.	Achieved Surrey Matters has confirmed that "will liaise with the Surrey History Centre on any historical aspects to features".	February 2017	Barrie Higham Peter Milton Surrey Matters Richard Walsh

Date of meeting	Item	Ref:	Recommendation/Action	Status	Progress Check	Responsible Officer / Member / Cabinet Member
13 October 2016	Surrey County Council's Heritage Services And The Development Of A Future Strategy [Item 7]	REB 47/2016	That Cabinet pursue an update of the Council's born-digital records strategy, to ensure the long term preservation of key decisions given electronically.	Achieved Added to Forward Work Programme	March 2017	Barrie Higham Peter Milton Richard Walsh
22 November 2016	Changes to how Surrey Fire and Rescue Service responds to Automatic Fire Alarms [Item 8]	REB 49/2016	The Board requests a report on findings from each Phase of the change to the response policy, including usage of the enforcement legislation available and attendances over county borders.	Outstanding	End of Phase 1	Russell Pearson Sally Wilson Ian Houseman Richard Walsh
22 November 2016	Safe and Well Visits [Item 9]	REB 50/2016	The Board recommends that the Health and Wellbeing Board investigates the viability of staff or volunteers to be available at GP Surgeries to speak to vulnerable residents to promote Safe and Well Visits and other preventative initiatives.	Ongoing This is being progressed and an update will follow in due course.	March 2017	Health and Wellbeing Board
22 November 2016	Safe and Well Visits [Item 9]	REB 51/2016	The Board supports the work SFRS around the Safe & well Visits and requests a progress report, including data collected at the recorded visits	Added to Forward Work Programme	Summer 2017	Ian Houseman Sally Wilson Russell Pearson Richard Walsh
22 November 2016	Safe and Well Visits [Item 9]	REB 52/2016	The Board recommends that the Cabinet Member and SFRS works with Surrey Matters to run a "Make Every Contact Count" article highlighting the preventative work of the Council and SFRS has been undergoing, and for SFRS to provide case studies highlighting the difference it makes for Surrey's residents.	Outstanding	Summer 2017	Ian Houseman Sally Wilson Russell Pearson Richard Walsh
2 February 2017	Surrey Fire and Rescue Service - Immediate Emergency Care Response Pilot (Co-Responding) [Item 7]	REB 1/2017	That the Board Chairman writes to the LGA Employers Representatives in support of co-responding, seeking additional funding for the scheme until a decision on the results of the NJC pilot is made in July 2017.	Outstanding	March 2017	Colin Kemp
2 February 2017	Surrey Fire and Rescue Service - Training And Development [Item 8]	REB 2/2017	The Board recommends that Surrey Matters runs an article on the work and training for Surrey Fire and Rescue Service firefighters, and the support this provides businesses in Surrey.	Outstanding	Summer 2017	Roger Childs

Resident Experience Board Forward Work Programme 2017

7 June 2017

Ashcombe

- *Business Meeting*

6 July 2017

Ashcombe

- SFRS - End of Year Performance Review
- SFRS - Public Safety Plan - Action Plan Update & Progress Check
- VCFS Driving Up Volunteering Project - End of Project Report

7 September 2017

Ashcombe

7 November 2017

Ashcombe

Future topics for Scrutiny:

- Prevent Strategy from Community Safety Board
- Born-Digital Records Strategy
- REB51/2016 Progress on Surrey Fire and Rescue Service's Safe & Well Visits Programme

This page is intentionally left blank



Resident Experience Board
Thursday 9 March 2017

Surrey Local Flood Risk Management Strategy and Community Resilience

Purpose of the report: Policy Development

A review of Objective 5 in Surrey County Council's refreshed Flood Risk Management Strategy and how Community Resilience aims to support communities at risk of flooding in Surrey.

Introduction:

1. Surrey County Council (SCC) is designated as 'Lead Local Flood Authority' under the Flood and Water Management Act (2010). This brings with it a number of responsibilities, including the duty for SCC to "develop maintain, apply and monitor a strategy for local flood risk management in its area".
2. Surrey's Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS) was first published in December 2014. However, much has changed since then and it is important for the Strategy to take these changes into account and remain up to date.
3. The Council's Strategic Network Resilience Team has been working on a refresh of the LFRMS because: there have been amendments to legislation which place more responsibilities on Risk Management Authorities; significant national and local flood events have required local authorities to adapt their approach to managing flood risk; there has been increased demand on local drainage solutions due to rising demand from new development, and there is a need to make the document more public facing and easy to understand.

The Refreshed Strategy

4. The refreshed strategy has been updated in consultation with partners, including: the Surrey Risk Partnership Board; District and Boroughs; Thames Water; Environment Agency; Natural England; and Historic England.

5. The refreshed [Draft Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2017-2032](#) was subject to scrutiny at the Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways Board on 19 October 2016, and in 2017 a public consultation on the Strategy ran from Friday 6 January to Friday 24 February.
6. Feedback from scrutiny, and results from the public consultation will be considered and incorporated into the Strategy where appropriate.

Objective 5 – Community Resilience

7. A key focus of the refreshed Strategy is Community Resilience (objective 5). As this work falls in the remit of the Resident Experience Board it has been requested that at this meeting officers from the Strategic Network Resilience Team, and invited witnesses, will give a presentation outlining:
 - a) How the Strategic Network Resilience Team works with internal and external partners in Surrey
 - b) The key principles of Objective 5, Community Resilience, in the draft LFRMS
 - c) How the Council can support resident groups across the County to prepare for emergencies
 - d) How the Council works with the National Flood Forum to engage with communities at risk

Suggested recommendations:

8. That the Resident Experience Board notes the work of the Strategic Network Resilience Team, and the draft Local Flood Risk Management Strategy.

Next steps:

9. A final version of the document will be submitted for approval at Cabinet on Tuesday 28 March 2017.

Report contact: Thomas Pooley, Flood Risk and Network Resilience Specialist, Surrey Highways

Contact details: 020 8541 9902 / thomas.pooley@surreycc.gov.uk

Sources/background papers:

[Draft Local Flood Risk Management Strategy 2017-2032](#)

[Surrey Local Flood Risk Management Strategy \[Item 8\]](#) (19 October 2016)